



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,604	03/12/2004	Paul Fcbvrc	1487.0150001	6207
26111	7590	04/27/2007	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			NGUYEN, TU X	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2618				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	04/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,604	FEBVRE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tu X. Nguyen	2618		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 13, 14, 19, 26 and 27 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12, 15-18 and 20-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 13, 14, 19, 26 and 27 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 13-14, 19 and 26-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Eng (US Patent 6370153).

Regarding claim 13, Eng discloses a method of transmission in a contention-based access channel by a wireless transceiver, comprising:

a) transmitting a burst in said channel (see col.14 lines 6-7);
b) detecting whether said burst has collided with another burst in said channel (see col.17 lines 29-34); and, if a collision is detected at said detecting step, waiting for a period determined according to a repeat parameter before repeating steps a) and b) (see col.17 lines 19-27),

wherein said repeat parameter is received by said transceiver and wherein said repeat parameter indicates a range and includes an increment by which said range is increased after each repetition of steps a) and b) (see col.16 lines 59-60, col.17 lines 37-38, "the persistent parameter, the next available collision resolution mini-slot" corresponds to "indicates a range and includes an increment by which said range is increased").

Regarding claim 14, Eng discloses wherein said period is randomly or pseudo-randomly selected from said range indicated by said repeat parameter (see col.16 lines 63-64).

Regarding claim 19, Eng discloses a method of allocating frequency channels to a plurality of wireless transceivers, comprising:

transmitting to each of said transceivers a forward frequency channel allocation signal indicating an allocation of one or more forward frequency channels which that transceiver is to receive (see col.9 lines 1-5); and

transmitting to each of said transceivers, in at least one said forward frequency channels assigned to that transceiver, a respective return channel allocation signal indicating an allocation of one or more return frequency channels in which that transceiver may transmit (see col.9 lines 6-24);

wherein, for each forward frequency channel, a set of preferred return frequency channels is stored (see col.8 line 60 through col.9 line 4, "central controller" is inherent includes memory for schedule channel assignments), such that for each of said transceivers to which a specified one of said forward frequency channels is allocated, the allocated one or more return

frequency channels is preferentially selected from said corresponding set of preferred return frequency channels (see col.8 line 60 through col.9 line 4).

Regarding claim 26, Eng discloses a method of controlling transmission by a wireless transceiver in a channel shared with transmission by other transceivers, comprising:

monitoring data transmitted to said transceiver (see col.18 line 59 through col.19 line 10);
detecting the content of said monitored data (see col.19 lines 6-10);
predicting, on the basis of said monitoring step, a demand for capacity in said channel by said transceiver (see col.16 lines 24-50 “scheduler” corresponds to “predicting”), and
transmitting to said transceiver an allocation signal indicating an allocation in said channel determined according to said predicted demand (see col.16 lines 24-50).

Regarding claim 27, Eng discloses including generating a statistical model based on previous traffic flow to and from wireless transceivers, wherein the demand for capacity is predicted according to said statistical model (see col.19 lines 60-66).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7883.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



April 25, 2007